

Gravitational Effects of Culture on Internal Migration in Brazil*

Daisy Assmann Lima^{*,‡} Philipp Ehrl^{*}

★ *Catholic University of Brasilia,* ‡ *Federal Defense Counsel*

July 18, 2020

Abstract

This paper conducts empirical research about the role of culture on internal migration in Brazil. To do so, we deploy data from the Latin American Public Opinion Project (LAPOP) and the 2010 Brazilian Census. Against the background of the gravitational model, we adopt the method Poisson Pseudo-Maximum Likelihood with Fixed Effects (PPMLFE) to account for econometric issues. The results obtained provide new evidence on the influence of the migrant's perceptions about the push-pull factors of Brazilian municipalities. Traditionally, gravitational models apply features such as Gross Domestic Product per capita, unemployment rate, and population density to measure the attractiveness of cities. All in all, these insights on the migrant's traits and perceptions about culture pave the way to design appropriate migration policies at the municipal level once migration supports, among others, renewal of the socio-economic tissue.

Abstract

Este artigo realiza pesquisas empíricas sobre o papel da cultura na migração interna no Brasil. Para isso, implantamos dados do Projeto de Opinião Pública da América Latina (LAPOP) e do Censo Brasileiro de 2010. No contexto do modelo gravitacional, adotamos o método de probabilidade pseudo-máxima máxima de Poisson com efeitos fixos (PPMLFE) para dar

*We are grateful to the Federal Defense Counsel (DPU) and the National Council for Scientific and Technological Development (CNPq research grant no. 431334/2018-8) for financial support, XXIII CBE, XVI RWIO, 2019 EPRG Meeting, and the 2019 Stata User Conference for providing excellent comments and suggestions which helped to improve the paper .

conta de questões econométricas. Os resultados obtidos fornecem novas evidências sobre a influência das percepções dos migrantes sobre os fatores push-pull dos municípios brasileiros. Tradicionalmente, os modelos gravitacionais aplicam recursos como Produto Interno Bruto per capita, taxa de desemprego e densidade populacional para medir a atratividade das cidades. Em suma, essas idéias sobre os traços e percepções dos migrantes sobre a cultura abrem o caminho para elaborar políticas de migração apropriadas no nível municipal, uma vez que a migração apóia, entre outros, a renovação do tecido socioeconômico.

Keywords: internal migration, cultural distance, structural gravity model, institutions, religious diversity

Palavras-chave: migração interna, distância cultural, modelo gravitacional estrutural, instituições, diversidade religiosa

Área da Anpec: Área 12 - Economia Social e Demografia Econômica

JEL Classification: L26, C26, D22, O31

1 Introduction

Internal migration flux in Brazil is significant and matters to understand the offer of public policies. It is relevant to take into consideration in a country of continental proportions as Brazil, where the internal migration is typically higher. Klugman (2009) point out that almost 740 million people in the world are internal migrants. According to the Census data from 2010, nearly 3.3 million people migrate to reach new opportunities within Brazil. Since 1980, concentration in metropolitan cities has been the main driver of internal migration, as explored by Martine (1994). More recently, migrants are moving due to other reasons. In general, they are looking for better opportunities and quality of life. Moreover, the rhythm of the internal migration after 1980 has been stable, as pointed by Klugman (2009).

Recently, Mcauliffe (2019) state that mobility is a characteristic of the nature of the human being's behavior; however, some peoples move more than others and in different ways. We regard that impediments to mobility, such as geographical distance, require additional investment. If friends or acquaintances at a given moment have migrated to a municipality, a person is more prone - when and if migrating - to opt for that same place. Among others, this study focuses on understanding how the host cultural identity and the cultural distance between the host and origin cities might help us to understand that relationship. Results of previous studies such as Switek (2016) suggest that internal migration report improvements depending on the reason for moving.

In the literature on migration, structural, and social-psychological attributes are vital concepts as proposed by Ritchey (1976) at the push-pull theory. Structural attributes indicate the individual's status in society as a lifecycle position. As a proxy for structural characteristics, we deploy time spent in the migration travel and some dyadic - at origin and destination - variables: a) unemployment rate, b) GDP per capita, and d) population density. Social-psychological attributes, in their turn, stand for motives, aspirations, values, perceptions, and modes of orientation. As a proxy for social-psychological attributes (hereafter "cultural attributes"), we deploy one's perceptions about a) national pride b) life satisfaction, c) authoritarianism, d) the rule of law, e) community trust, d) corruption, e) the trust in the evangelical church, f) religious diversity and g) self-discipline and, finally, h) himself as a "critical" person.

This study aims at exploring how these cultural attributes interfere in the voluntary flow of migrants. We underline the benefits of social exchanges: migrants tend to move to a place where they feel socially "closer," as stated by Akerlof (1997). In light of the above mentioned, we address the question: whether cultural attributes of a municipality represent a push-pull factor to internal migrants in Brazil. The migrants go to cities with more similarities from a gravity theory perspective, accounting for cultural distances. We deem that places with some similarities with the home municipality provide a friendly environment to introduce them to the new city. Akerlof (1997) remarks that groups with levels of coordination could benefit from externalities until reaching optimum social equilibrium. So the distance is a crucial element to the analysis since it could establish the level of proximity between peoples at home and host municipalities, for example. We believe that social decisions are related to the push-pull factors that improve the migration process.

About the data, we use the Latin American Public Opinion Project (LAPOP) survey to provide the cultural variables. For this purpose, we apply the Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to the dataset. This statistical procedure enables the reduction of the dimensionality of the data. We choose the variables with Kaiser-Meyer-Ohlin (KMO) value more significant than 0.7. The resulting variables related to cultural values are one's perceptions about a) national pride b) life satisfaction, c) authoritarianism, d) the rule of law, e) community trust, d) corruption, e) the trust in the evangelical church, f) religious diversity and g) self-discipline and finally h) himself as a "critical" person.

Mainly, our finding stress that a migrant prefers the destination with a smaller distance compared to his perceptions about authoritarianism, corruption, life satisfaction, community trust, and the rule of law. Regarding personal traits, we find that the variable "being a critical person" increases the cultural distance from the migration destinations, then, for this variable, differently from the others, the higher the distance, the higher the migration flux. So, in this case, cultural distances can reach higher migration flux. In general, as stated by geographical distances, cultural distances enhance the migratory influx. We also stress some issues about the effect of heterogeneities on migrants. Regarded the differences in the magnitude of the variables, we find that the migrant profile who cares about the national pride is a unique feature present at non-white, 16-40 years old, female, work more than 40 hours per week, and is self-employed. There is also a group that does not tolerate corruption: 16-40 years old, work more than 40 hours per week, and self-employed.

To sum up, our article contributes to the migration literature furthering the analysis of internal

migration by adding cultural variables to its analytical framework in the following ways. First, we improve on existing studies applying the latest methodology - Poisson Pseudo Maximum Likelihood with Fixed Effects - in migration gravity models with a robust new database at the internal migration literature: LAPOP database. So, we analyze the push-pull factors of internal migration with the background of the gravitational model, including cultural distances. Second, we examine the impact of cultural distances between Brazilian municipalities, considering one's perceptions about a) national pride b) life satisfaction, c) authoritarianism, d) the rule of law, e) community trust, d) corruption, e) the trust in the evangelical church, f) religious diversity and g) self-discipline and finally h) himself as a "critical" person. Third, we use geographical distance measured by the time to travel (by car in minutes - Google Maps) instead of distance in kilometers as usual. We believe that, in this manner, we can account for infrastructure restrictions.

The organization of this paper is as follows: in the second section, we present the gravity model estimations; the third section explains our data and descriptive statistics. The fourth section presents the basic results and further investigations on the effect of heterogeneity. At last, section six offers concluding remarks.

2 Gravity Model Estimation

First, we show why existing gravity studies could mislead to obtain estimates of the cultural effects on the municipality's internal migration flow. In this estimation, a core model presents the assumption that migration determines factors of attraction and repulsion. The fundamental evaluation of the gravity model mostly uses population size and distance. Several studies extend the model to include the main economic explanatory variables: GDP per capita and the unemployment rate in all of them at the origin and destiny. We replaced population size by population density since it can be used as a proxy for social networks as well. The basic gravity model of migration suggests that differences in the unemployment rate, time to travel, origin and destiny GDP, and population density between host and origin municipalities in Brazil attract or repulse the migrants.

Another point is that the presence of distance characterizes the gravity model as a critical factor. So we use the variables of interest - cultural one - in our analysis in distance terms. We develop and present our identification strategy based on internal migrants with cultural aspects tending to move to places with more opportunities - proxied by the populational density of the municipality of attraction. So because of the analogy with the physics of gravity, we can explain social movements, here, characterized by cultural distances as same as Akerlof (1997). The formula below and the laws stated find numerous empirical confirmations in the migration literature. The gravity model thus appears as an empirical generalization of the migration flows. However, the model cannot explain why there are considerable variations in the characteristics of migrants or the differences in volume between migratory flows that start from similar municipalities.

In this vein, we could consider that the distance should have significantly reduced the result according to the model, confirming our assumption that the internal migration in Brazilian municipalities has

other explanations than those provided by this theoretic model. One way to solve it is to take into account the transport costs with the time to travel - in minutes - and subjective distances as cultural, institutional, religious, and personal traits distances. We present this step as an opportunity to introduce the design of analysis as well as the econometric notation. The Newtonian law of gravitation inspires the basic of migration gravity models in their traditional form:

$$F_{ij} = G \frac{M_i M_j}{D_{ij}^2} \quad (1)$$

The force F between two bodies i and j with $i \neq j$ is proportional to the masses M of these bodies and inversely proportional to the square of their geographical distance D . G is a constant and, as such, of no significant concern. The underlying idea of a traditional gravity model, shown for migration flux as stated by Anderson (2011) follows the same rationale:

$$M_{ij} = \exp(C_{ij}\beta + \pi_i + \chi_j) \cdot \epsilon_{ij} \quad (2)$$

The migration flows M_{ij} from municipality i to j explain C_i and C_j that embody the cultural variables, π_i represents the vector of time to travel, GDP per capita, unemployment rate, and the densities of the populations of municipality i and j , and χ_j represent the fixed effects of the home and host municipalities. The ϵ_{ij} is the random error term associated with all pairs of municipalities. Then we make a logarithmic transformation to form a log-linear model. In this manner, the parameters are the elasticity of the migration flow to the explanatory variables. Usually, we can express the econometric regression as follows:

$$\log M_{ij} = \log C_{ij}\beta + \log \pi_i + \log \chi_j + \log \epsilon_{ij} \quad (3)$$

By the theory, this means implies a clear direction of causality that runs from GDP, unemployment rate, and distance to trade. This direction of causality is, however, theory-driven and based on the assumption that the gravity equation is a microeconomic model. To fit the purpose of our investigation, we explicitly separate the four groups of variables of interest that measure cultural aspects from both sides (C_i) and (C_j). One is the cultural group with national pride, life satisfaction, and community trust distances. The institutional distances are authoritarianism, corruption, policy orientation, and the rule of law. The religious one is the religious diversity and trust in the evangelical church. And the personal traits one is the disciplined and critical person distances.

It is vital to control for the potential confounding distances to isolate the effect of cultural distances on the migrant's influx. For this reason, we use the variables from the LAPOP database and calculate the distances at the same sense of Kogut and Singh (1988) on social distance variables, thereby assuming that the more culturally distant from the origin municipality, the lower the migrant influx to the destination municipality. So the expected sign of all distances is negative. The distance variables were calculated by the Euclidean distance definition between origin and destiny as follows:

$$C_{ij} = \sum_{ij} ((I_{ci} - I_{cj})^2) / var_{C_{ij}} \quad (4)$$

Where C_{ij} is the distance variable, I_{ci} and I_{cj} represent the values at the origin and the destiny of the cultural, institutional, and religion variables. And finally, var represents the variance of the I_{ij} variable. Silva and Tenreyro (2006) explains that an exponential multiplicative model makes it impossible to estimate the coefficients of the gravitational equation using ordinary least squares (OLS). The solution proposed by the authors is the adoption of the non-linear estimator called the PPML. Besides, the conditions of identification of the PPML are incompatible with the identification of the log-linear models. We need to address the inconsistency in the presence of heteroscedasticity in this model form. So Silva and Tenreyro (2006) proposes an estimation model with PPML, and Hering and Paillacar (2015) also addresses the problem of unobserved flows by running this model. Anderson *et al.* (2018); Fally (2015) bring the equation of the econometric FE-PPML model as:

$$M_{ij} = exp(C_{ij}\beta + \pi_i + \chi_j) \cdot \epsilon_{ij} \quad (5)$$

This set-up is almost identical to the equation 2 except of non-logarithmic variable of migration flux M_{ij} . We implement the FE-PPML through the Stata routine developed by Correia *et al.* (2019a, b). After that, we made additional regressions with the PPML model with fixed effects in the origin and destiny municipalities. In this case, we can estimate efficient parameters with characteristics asymptotically also efficiently. These problems arise in logarithmic transformation due to heteroscedasticity usually present in migration data. And as pointed by Silva and Tenreyro (2006), this practice of log-linearizing the gravity equation results in error values depending on the covariates of the regression, resulting hence in inconsistent estimation even then all observations of the dependent variables are strictly positive as in our case. Our dataset, by construction, does not contain zero migration flow. We have selected only the cities with individuals with prior movement based on the place of birth.

3 Data

The dataset assembled for the present study is composed of four sources: the Brazilian Census, the Brazilian Institute of Geography and Statistics (IBGE), the Departamento de Informatica do Sistema Unico de Saude (DATASUS), and the Latin American Public Opinion (LAPOP). The tool Stata - statistical software - routine developed by Weber and Péclat (2017) calculate the distance in terms of travel time in minutes, considering the travel by car. LAPOP employs an innovative method to carry out targeted national surveys. Given the low number of observations, we do not deploy the World Value Survey (WVS) to account for differences between inter and intra-local municipalities. We use Brazilian Censo and other datasets from IBGE and DATASUS. Rigotti (2011) asserts that the 2010 Brazilian Census contains information about the place of birth and the location of the last residence, also about duration of residence and, this data allows partial knowledge of the migratory

stages. Thus, we can measure the accumulated migration that occurred between the place of birth and the location of residence. Therefore we use a full list of 50 municipalities listed on table 4. We get this list of towns from the joint of the migrants from the Census dataset. So this procedure results in 450 pairs of them from the de LAPOP dataset.

Another concern about the datasets mainly the LAPOP one is that we need to have caution in over-interpreting findings based upon a survey question that could be interpreted in different ways by different persons as pointed by Alesina and La Ferrara (2002); Glaeser and Vigdor (2001). To explain the composition of the sample at hand, we firstly define migrants as those dwelling in one municipality in the last ten years having been born in another city, thereby leading to a selection of 450 cities pairs on a set of 50 municipalities. It is a sound approach, for our sample entails migrants solely, and a migrant usually chooses between two destinations to where he considers going to, as explained by Molloy *et al.* (2011). We select municipalities as of the geographic unit of measurement due to its specificity and broader data availability, notwithstanding the use of datasets at the state level is more frequent in national studies. Further, we do not include municipalities with zero migrant influx. We also rule out from the dataset the cities with the only one observation.

Appendix table A.2 provides an overview of the descriptions and sources of the variables of this study. Table A.1 depicts the respective summary statistics like mean, standard deviation, the minimum and maximum value of each variable.

4 Results

4.1 Baseline results

In this section, we provide estimations on migration gravity models accounting for several issues as heterogeneity and fixed effects. The dependent variable is the number of the influx of migrants, and the time to reach the destination city is the main explanatory variable. In table 1, first, we include the traditional variables of the gravitational model: origin and destination population densities as well as home and host unemployment rates and GDP to account for structural attributes. The basic results are in line with the traditional gravitational model, i.e., the negative relation between the migration flux and the time to travel between the municipalities. As expected, the destiny GDP, origin, and destiny population are pull factors. The destiny GDP and unemployment are usually pushing elements besides our regression presented as pull factors as well as the former variables.

Our basic empirical model holds good fitness to the data and explains a substantial proportion of variation in the correlations presented. The adjusted pseudo-R2 is relatively high across specifications, generally between 0.5. We can define culture as social norms and values, religious beliefs, family structures. Taking this into account, we regress, at column (2) of the table (1), the cultural distances: national pride, life satisfaction, and community trust. Then, at column (3) of the table (1), the institutional distances: authoritarianism, corruption, policy orientation, and the rule of law. After, at column (4) of the table (1), the religions distances: praise for religious diversity and trust in

the evangelical church. Finally, at column (5) of the table (1), we add distances for personal traits: disciplined and critical person. The complete model, at column (6) of the table (1), is better fitted: lower AIC statistic and higher loglikelihood statistic.

The complete PPML model indicates more migration flux with lower authoritarianism, life satisfaction, and religious diversity distance. This general result is consistent with our prediction that similarities for cultural distances lead migrants to converge in the choice of destination. Another probable consequence is the improvement of the agglomeration process in the cities. Our baseline model is in the basic specification of column (5), in table 1.

Furthering this analysis, another variable that deserves some consideration is the unemployment rate. We can see that it is relevant to explain the migration flux. So we can infer that economic opportunities influence the decision to migrate. This feature may be seen as a consequence of the individual perceptions about to migrate. In column (6) in table 1, the unemployment rate is not relevant as a push factor. Otherwise, we have that the bigger the migration flux when bigger is the distance about being a disciplined person.

We consider the PPML results with fixed effects the most robust model since this is the new methodological state-of-art. The table (2), we regress, in column (1), the cultural distances: national pride, life satisfaction, and community trust. Then, in column (2), the institutional distances: authoritarianism, corruption, policy orientation, and the rule of law. After, at column (3), the religious distances: praise for religious diversity and trust in the evangelical church. Finally, in column (4), we add distances for personal traits: disciplined and critical person. The complete model, at column (5), represents the preferred model and goodness of fit: lower AIC statistic and higher loglikelihood statistic.

We identify that migrant's preferences over alternative migration destinations are better when we have lower ranges about perceptions about authoritarianism, corruption, life satisfaction, community trust, and the rule of law. Regarding personal traits, we find that being a critical person enhances the cultural distance to the migration flux at the municipalities. At this level of analysis, we could see the effect of a bad equilibrium, as stated by Alesina and La Ferrara (2002). We believe that this could occur in Brazilian cities because the migrants move, in general, to bigger cities. So the people go to a more heterogeneous community. And then the behavior of accepting a bribe, for example, is more comfortable to see when the people are in bigger cities than when they live in a smaller one.

4.2 Effect heterogeneity

We explore the possibilities of heterogeneities among the migrants. The present literature about this topic find some characteristics about the migrants, but we intend to verify through our data another specificity beyond the cultural variables and other cultural aspects. The question arises as to whether there is a group of individuals that are driving the baseline results.

The first result that we find at table 3 is the difference between white and non-white migrants. National pride, the rule of law, and being a critical person are not relevant to the non-white migrants.

So, these distances do not matter as push-pull factors at placing in a municipality. The second heterogeneity issue that we analyzed was the level of education: primary (until ten years of study) and high school (until 14 years of study). Within our database, we do not identify graduated migrants. Both migrants do no account for national pride, life satisfaction, the rule of law and, being a critical person.

The third result is about the age of migrants. We find that the younger migrants care about life satisfaction and national pride with more emphasis. We define as older migrants who have more than 40 years old because, at literature, more younger, more probably to migrate. Taking this into account, we identified that they have more care about authoritarianism, corruption, the rule of law, and being a critical person. We could say that these concerns are more aligned to the former people that, in some manner, do not concern so much about the job market but to the political aspects. And we could suspect that these migrants still have memory about the dictatorial period that occurred in Brazil during the recent past.

The fourth result that we find at table 3 is the difference between male and female migrants. Life satisfaction and national pride are more relevant to female migrants since the magnitude of the variable is more significant when compared to the male migrants. The opposite occurs with the rule of law and being a critical person. The fifth result is about the kind of job that the migrant has: self-employed and the employed. We identified that the only similarities between them are the perception of community trust and authoritarianism. While autonomous care about national pride and being a critical person, the employed concern about life satisfaction and corruption. Considering, in this turn, who works more than 40 hours per week and who works less than 40 hours per week, we remark that they have similar characteristics about perceptions on community trust, and being a critical person. The difference between them is prominent about national pride, life satisfaction, authoritarianism, corruption, and the rule of law that is relevant for who work more than 40 hours per week only.

The only group that considered the trust at the trust in the evangelical church is the people between who has more than 40 years. And the only group that does not account for authoritarianism is those who have 16-40 years old. All of them consider community trust as an attraction factor to a municipality. The question to community trust is: "Speaking of the people here, would you say that the people in your community" are significant at all regressions. The national pride is a unique feature present at non-white, 16-40 years old, female, work more than 40 hours per week, and is self-employed. There is a group that does not tolerate corruption: 16-40 years old, work more than 40 hours per week, and is self-employed.

Table 1: Baseline PPML Regressions

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	dependent variable: migration flux					
time to travel	-0.41*** [0.08]	-0.38*** [0.08]	-0.44*** [0.08]	-0.42*** [0.08]	-0.40*** [0.08]	-0.42*** [0.07]
destiny GDP	0.91*** [0.18]	0.81*** [0.16]	0.84*** [0.20]	0.86*** [0.17]	0.95*** [0.18]	0.71*** [0.19]
origin GDP	0.57*** [0.18]	0.49*** [0.16]	0.48*** [0.18]	0.48*** [0.18]	0.62*** [0.18]	0.35* [0.19]
origin population	0.34*** [0.07]	0.35*** [0.06]	0.36*** [0.06]	0.35*** [0.07]	0.35*** [0.07]	0.38*** [0.07]
destiny population	0.35*** [0.06]	0.36*** [0.06]	0.37*** [0.07]	0.34*** [0.06]	0.36*** [0.07]	0.38*** [0.07]
origin unemployment	0.17 [0.22]	-0.07 [0.25]	0.19 [0.20]	0.17 [0.22]	0.17 [0.22]	-0.10 [0.22]
destiny unemployment	0.49** [0.21]	0.29 [0.24]	0.51** [0.21]	0.45** [0.20]	0.51** [0.21]	0.25 [0.24]
authoritarianism			-0.11** [0.04]			-0.08** [0.04]
corruption			0.04 [0.03]			0.03 [0.03]
policy orientation			-0.01 [0.03]			-0.05 [0.05]
rule of law			-0.01 [0.04]			-0.00 [0.05]
national pride		-0.02 [0.03]				0.02 [0.03]
life satisfaction		-0.21*** [0.04]				-0.20*** [0.03]
community trust		-0.02 [0.02]				-0.00 [0.02]
trust in evangelical trust				-0.06* [0.04]		-0.03 [0.03]
religious diversity				-0.01 [0.00]		-0.01** [0.00]
disciplined person					-0.01 [0.03]	0.04*** [0.01]
critical person					0.08* [0.05]	0.06 [0.05]
Observations	450	450	450	450	450	450
Pseudo-R2	0.56	0.61	0.62	0.58	0.57	0.67
LL	-68020.30	-62381.88	-62485.17	-67072.13	-67469.99	-57049.33
AIC	136056.6	124785.8	124994.3	134164.3	134960	114136.7

Notes: The table Robust standard errors in brackets and the variables time to travel, density population, GDP, and unemployment rate are in logarithm. The dependent variable is the migration flux. Cultural, institutional, religious, and personal traits variables are measured in distance terms as specified by the Euclidean equation. In all equations, standard deviations are robust to heteroskedastic by the white method. We add at the first column the cultural variables; then, we add the institutional one, then religion variables, and finally, the personal one. As cultural variables: community trust, national pride and life satisfaction, as institutional: authoritarianism, corruption, policy orientation and rule of law, as religious: trust in the evangelical church and religious diversity, and as personal one: disciplined and critical person.

* indicates $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Table 2: Fixed Effects PPML regressions

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	dependent variable: migration flux				
time to travel	-0.97*** [0.06]	-0.95*** [0.06]	-0.99*** [0.06]	-0.97*** [0.06]	-0.94*** [0.06]
authoritarianism		-0.11** [0.05]			-0.09** [0.04]
corruption		-0.06* [0.03]			-0.06** [0.03]
policy orientation		-0.02 [0.05]			-0.00 [0.05]
rule of law		-0.04* [0.03]			-0.05* [0.03]
community trust	0.09*** [0.02]				0.09*** [0.02]
national pride	-0.04 [0.03]				-0.05* [0.03]
life satisfaction	-0.05 [0.04]				-0.06* [0.03]
religious diversity			-0.02** [0.01]		-0.01 [0.01]
trust in evangelical trust			0.03 [0.03]		0.04 [0.03]
critical person				0.08 [0.05]	0.09* [0.05]
disciplined person				-0.02 [0.03]	0.02 [0.03]
Fixed Effects (fe)					
Origin/Destiny	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Observations	450	450	450	450	450
Wald stat	454.69	351.52	286.09	303.70	461.71
Pseudo-R2	0.89	0.89	0.88	0.89	0.90
LL	-16096.19	-16203.06	-16633.28	-16771.67	-15150.44
AIC	32202.39	32418.12	33274.57	33551.34	30326.89

Notes: The table Robust standard errors in brackets and the variables time to travel, density population, unemployment rate, and GDP are in logarithm. The dependent variable is the migration flux. Cultural, institutional, religious, and personal variables are measured in distance terms as specified by Euclidean terms. In all equations, standard deviations are robust to heteroskedastic by the white method. We add at the first column the cultural variables; then, we add the institutional one, then the religion one, and finally the personal distance variables. As cultural variables, we have community trust, national pride, and life satisfaction, as institutional: authoritarianism, corruption, policy orientation, and the rule of law, as religious one: trust in the evangelical church and religious diversity, and as personal traits: disciplined and critical person. All regressions have fixed effects on home and host municipalities.

* indicates $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

Table 3: Heterogeneities fixed effects PPML regressions

dep.var.:	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
	core model	white	non-white	high school	prim.school	age:16-40	age: more 40	male	female	40hrs	40 hrs+	self-employed	employed
time to travel	-0.94*** [0.06]	-0.91*** [0.06]	-0.95*** [0.07]	-0.93*** [0.07]	-0.89*** [0.07]	-0.94*** [0.06]	-0.96*** [0.07]	-0.94*** [0.06]	-0.95*** [0.07]	-0.82*** [0.07]	-0.96*** [0.06]	-0.90*** [0.07]	-0.95*** [0.07]
community trust	0.09*** [0.02]	0.10*** [0.02]	0.07** [0.03]	0.08*** [0.03]	0.11*** [0.03]	0.09*** [0.02]	0.08*** [0.02]	0.09*** [0.03]	0.09*** [0.02]	0.10*** [0.04]	0.09*** [0.02]	0.09*** [0.04]	0.09*** [0.02]
national pride	-0.05* [0.03]	-0.04 [0.03]	-0.07* [0.04]	-0.05 [0.04]	-0.07** [0.04]	-0.07** [0.03]	-0.02 [0.04]	-0.05 [0.03]	-0.07** [0.03]	-0.03 [0.05]	-0.06* [0.03]	-0.09** [0.04]	-0.04 [0.03]
life satisfaction	-0.06* [0.03]	-0.07* [0.04]	-0.05 [0.05]	-0.06 [0.04]	-0.05 [0.05]	-0.08** [0.03]	-0.01 [0.04]	-0.06 [0.04]	-0.07* [0.04]	-0.03 [0.06]	-0.06* [0.03]	0.03 [0.06]	-0.08** [0.03]
authoritarianism	-0.09** [0.04]	-0.07* [0.04]	-0.15*** [0.05]	-0.11** [0.06]	-0.14*** [0.05]	-0.06 [0.05]	-0.17*** [0.04]	-0.10** [0.04]	-0.08* [0.05]	-0.04 [0.06]	-0.10** [0.04]	-0.16** [0.05]	-0.07* [0.04]
corruption	-0.06** [0.03]	-0.06** [0.03]	-0.06** [0.03]	-0.05* [0.03]	-0.08*** [0.02]	-0.05 [0.03]	-0.09*** [0.03]	-0.06** [0.03]	-0.06* [0.03]	-0.02 [0.05]	-0.07*** [0.03]	-0.03 [0.04]	-0.07** [0.03]
policy orientation	-0.00 [0.05]	-0.02 [0.04]	0.02 [0.05]	0.00 [0.05]	-0.01 [0.05]	-0.00 [0.05]	0.00 [0.05]	0.00 [0.05]	-0.01 [0.05]	0.03 [0.06]	-0.01 [0.04]	0.06 [0.05]	-0.03 [0.05]
role of law	-0.05** [0.03]	-0.05** [0.03]	-0.05 [0.03]	-0.05 [0.03]	-0.04 [0.03]	-0.04 [0.03]	-0.07** [0.03]	-0.07*** [0.03]	-0.01 [0.03]	-0.06 [0.04]	-0.05* [0.03]	-0.04 [0.03]	-0.05** [0.03]
trust in evangelical trust	0.04 [0.03]	0.01 [0.03]	0.07 [0.03]	0.02 [0.04]	0.04 [0.04]	0.02 [0.04]	0.07* [0.04]	0.04 [0.04]	0.04 [0.04]	0.04 [0.04]	0.04 [0.03]	0.03 [0.04]	0.04 [0.04]
religious diversity	-0.01 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	0.00 [0.01]	-0.00 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	0.02 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]	-0.00 [0.01]	-0.01 [0.01]
disciplined person	0.02 [0.03]	0.05 [0.03]	-0.01 [0.04]	-0.00 [0.04]	-0.01 [0.04]	0.01 [0.04]	0.06 [0.04]	0.03 [0.03]	-0.01 [0.04]	0.00 [0.05]	0.02 [0.03]	0.03 [0.04]	0.02 [0.04]
critical person	0.10* [0.05]	0.11* [0.06]	0.08 [0.06]	0.07 [0.06]	0.09 [0.06]	0.07 [0.06]	0.14*** [0.05]	0.09* [0.05]	0.09 [0.07]	0.13* [0.07]	0.09* [0.05]	0.17*** [0.05]	0.06 [0.06]
Observations	447	443	447	445	447	447	439	445	443	424	447	420	447
fixed effects	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
Orig./dest.	460.01	378.75	380.16	352.29	286.55	420.41	394.54	447.39	340.44	228.13	466.66	396.48	397.78
Wald stat	0.89	0.89	0.84	0.83	0.81	0.87	0.87	0.88	0.86	0.79	0.89	0.82	0.89
Pseudo-R2	-15081.56	-9364.94	-10068.54	-8678.88	-10028.07	-12612.23	-5613.79	-11545.83	-7404.56	-5250.20	-12931.81	-5663.26	-12750.86
LL	30189.12	18755.9	20163.1	17383.76	20082.15	25250.46	11253.58	23117.67	14835.13	10526.42	25889.63	11352.53	25527.73
AIC													

Notes: The table Robust standard errors in brackets and the variables time to travel, density population, GDP, and unemployment rate are in logarithm. The dependent variable is the migration flux. And all the data are from the 2010 year. In all equations, standard deviations are robust to heteroskedastic by the white method. We have as cultural variables: community trust, national pride and life satisfaction, as institutional: authoritarianism, corruption, policy orientation, and rule of law, as religious: trust in the evangelical church trust and religious diversity, and as personal traits: disciplined and critical person.

* indicates $p < 0.10$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$.

5 Conclusion

The present paper provides evidence that a migrant prefers the destination with a smaller distance compared to his perceptions about authoritarianism, corruption, life satisfaction, community trust, and the rule of law. Regarding personal traits, we find that the variable "being a critical person" increases the cultural distance from the migration destinations. For this variable, differently from the others, the higher the distance, the higher the migration flux.

In this sense, Molloy *et al.* (2011) advocate that lower mobility could eventually raise aggregate well-being and economic output. That would be the case of a small municipality that is overburdened by the sudden influx of migrants and would need to rewire its infrastructure to accommodate them. Recently we see this occurring at Altamira municipality in the North of the country because of the construction of the Belo Monte hydroelectric plant. Suddenly the population at that place grew a lot.

The incorporation of cultural distances adds value to a model that already considers the role of population density, GDP, and unemployment rate, providing more robust evidence on the determinants of inter-municipality migration in 2010. Then we could perceive that geographic proximity does not necessarily mean cultural neighborhood. The effect of the variables included in the gravitational model - with the novelty of the PPML regression accounting for the fixed effects - were capable of capturing the impact of local attractiveness related to cultural distances with the more recent state-of-art methodology. We also identified some characteristics that are relevant to explain the migrant profile: our finding stress relevant characteristics of the "cultural" migrant. For example, the group that does not tolerate corruption: 16-40 years old, self-employed, and working more than 40 hours per week.

In general, we contribute with the literature when we state that in studied Brazilian cities, we find that migrants go to municipalities with similarities from the origin city. Alesina *et al.* (1999), among others, point out that the public policies are more efficient with more homogeneous localities, and we could confirm this result in our study because migrants choose to live similar municipalities at the cultural point of view. From a policy perspective, we stress a positive externality of social interaction by migration, i.e., a reciprocal benefit of people's movement by renewing the local social tissue as remark Akerlof (1997).

Public policies may deter migration by excluding policies favorable to locals. However, it is noteworthy that the free movement of people yields a more efficient allocation of human resources, thereby reducing the national unemployment rate aside from increasing life satisfaction. Brazilian Constitution, in Article 5, sets forth the equality principle: people from different places have the same rights. However, we know that attitudes and social norms favor locals in detriment to a migrant. We suggest, therefore, that the public administration and the private sector, at a cultural level, strike a balance between preserving local principles but equally fostering national values - which are more neutral and hence less restrictive on migrants. Future research may support to unravel other economic, sociological, and psychological issues by using LAPOP data. In this scenario, our findings have vital implications for the developing debate about culture, institutions, and religion on internal

migration. Furthermore, it could be interesting to study this kind of movement over time to explore the evolution path for cultural development, for example, with another type of data. Also, it is possible to examine the influence of immigration on the internal migration in Brazilian cities.

References

- AGGARWAL, R., KEARNEY, C. and LUCEY, B. (2009). Is gravity a cultural artefact? culture and distance in foreign portfolio investment. In *Manuscript, FMA Annual Meeting*.
- AKERLOF, G. A. (1997). Social distance and social decisions. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, pp. 1005–1027.
- ALESINA, A., BAQIR, R. and EASTERLY, W. (1999). Public goods and ethnic divisions. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **114** (4), 1243–1284.
- and GIULIANO, P. (2015). Culture and institutions. *Journal of Economic Literature*, **53** (4), 898–944.
- and LA FERRARA, E. (2002). Who trusts others? *Journal of Public Economics*, **85** (2), 207–234.
- ANDERSON, J. E. (2011). The gravity model. *Annu. Rev. Econ.*, **3** (1), 133–160.
- , LARCH, M. and YOTOV, Y. V. (2018). Geppml: General equilibrium analysis with ppml. *The World Economy*, **41** (10), 2750–2782.
- and VAN WINCOOP, E. (2003). Gravity with gravitas: a solution to the border puzzle. *American Economic Review*, **93** (1), 170–192.
- BAGHDADI, L., MARTINEZ-ZARZOSO, I. and ZITOUNA, H. (2013). Are rta agreements with environmental provisions reducing emissions? *Journal of International Economics*, **90** (2), 378–390.
- BALDWIN, R. E. and TAGLIONI, D. (2013). Gravity chains: Estimating bilateral trade flows when trade in components and parts is important. *Trade in Value Added*, p. 185.
- BERTOCCHI, G. and STROZZI, C. (2008). International migration and the role of institutions. *Public Choice*, **137** (1-2), 81–102.
- BERTOLI, S. and MORAGA, J. F.-H. (2015). The size of the cliff at the border. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, **51**, 1–6.
- CARD, D., MAS, A. and ROTHSTEIN, J. (2008). Tipping and the dynamics of segregation. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **123** (1), 177–218.
- CÁRDENAS, M., DI MARO, V. and SORKIN, I. (2009). Migration and life satisfaction: Evidence from latin america. *Journal of Business Strategies*, **26** (1), 9.

- CARVALHO, R. C. D. and RIGOTTI, J. I. R. (2015). Migration in the intermediate cities of minas gerais and its effects on population growth and sex and age composition in the period 1980-2010. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População*, **32** (2), 235–256.
- CHETTY, R. and HENDREN, N. (2018a). The impacts of neighborhoods on intergenerational mobility i: Childhood exposure effects. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **133** (3), 1107–1162.
- and — (2018b). The impacts of neighborhoods on intergenerational mobility ii: County-level estimates. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, **133** (3), 1163–1228.
- CHISWICK, B. (1999). Are immigrants favorably self-selected? *American Economic Review*, **89** (2), 181–185.
- COLLIER, P. and HOEFFLER, A. (2018). Migration, diasporas and culture: An empirical investigation. *Kyklos*, **71** (1), 86–109.
- COMBES, P.-P., LAFOURCADE, M. and MAYER, T. (2005). The trade-creating effects of business and social networks: evidence from france. *Journal of international Economics*, **66** (1), 1–29.
- CORREIA, S., GUIMARÃES, P. and ZYLKIN, T. (2019a). Ppmlhdf: Fast poisson estimation with high-dimensional fixed effects. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.01690*.
- , GUIMARAES, P. and ZYLKIN, T. (2019b). Ppmlhdf: Stata module for poisson pseudo-likelihood regression with multiple levels of fixed effects. *Boston College Department of Economics*.
- DA MATA, D., DE ALBUQUERQUE OLIVEIRA, C. W., PIN, C. and RESENDE, G. (2007). Migração, qualificação e desempenho das cidades brasileiras. *Dinâmica dos Municípios. CARVALHO AXY et al. org. Brasília: IPEA*.
- DAMM, A. P. (2009). Ethnic enclaves and immigrant labor market outcomes: Quasi-experimental evidence. *Journal of Labor Economics*, **27** (2), 281–314.
- DE JONG, G. F., CHAMRATRITHIRONG, A. and TRAN, Q.-G. (2002). For better, for worse: Life satisfaction consequences of migration 1. *International Migration Review*, **36** (3), 838–863.
- DE LIMA, Y. C., DA SILVA FILHO, L. A., CAVALCANTI, D. M. *et al.* (2019). Migração, seleção e diferenciais de renda na região norte do brasil em 2010. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos Regionais e Urbanos*, **13** (1), 141–160.
- DUPRÉ, A. (2008). What role does religion play in the migration process? *Migration and Religion in a Globalized World*.
- FALCK, O., LAMELI, A. and RUHOSE, J. (2018). Cultural biases in migration: Estimating non-monetary migration costs. *Papers in Regional Science*, **97** (2), 411–438.
- FALLY, T. (2015). Structural gravity and fixed effects. *Journal of International Economics*, **97** (1), 76–85.

- GLAESER, E. L. and VIGDOR, J. L. (2001). *Racial segregation in the 2000 Census: Promising news*. Citeseer.
- GOLGHER, A. B., ROSA, C. H. and ARAÚJO JÚNIOR, A. (2005). The determinants of migration in brazil. In *Proceedings of the 33th Brazilian Economics Meeting*. Niterói: Associação Nacional dos Centros de Pós-graduação em Economia, Citeseer, pp. 1–20.
- GREENWOOD, M. J. (1997). Internal migration in developed countries. *Handbook of population and family economics*, **1**, 647–720.
- GUIO, L., SAPIENZA, P. and ZINGALES, L. (2003). People’s opium? religion and economic attitudes. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, **50** (1), 225–282.
- HEAD, K. and MAYER, T. (2014). Gravity equations: Workhorse, toolkit, and cookbook. In *Handbook of International Economics*, vol. 4, Elsevier, pp. 131–195.
- HERING, L. and PAILLACAR, R. (2015). Does access to foreign markets shape internal migration? evidence from brazil. *The World Bank Economic Review*, **30** (1), 78–103.
- HERRMANN-PILLATH, C., LIBMAN, A. and YU, X. (2014). Economic integration in china: Politics and culture. *Journal of Comparative Economics*, **42** (2), 470–492.
- JUSTO, W. R. and NETO, R. D. M. S. (2008). O que determina a migração interestadual no brasil?: um modelo espacial para o período 1980-2000. *Revista Econômica do Nordeste*, **39** (4), 428–447.
- KLUGMAN, J. (2009). Human development report 2009. overcoming barriers: Human mobility and development. *Overcoming Barriers: Human Mobility and Development (October 5, 2009)*. UNDP-HDRO Human Development Reports.
- KOGUT, B. and SINGH, H. (1988). The effect of national culture on the choice of entry mode. *Journal of International Business Studies*, **19** (3), 411–432.
- LIAW, K.-L. and QI, M. (2004). Lifetime interprovincial migration in canada: looking beyond short-run fluctuations. *Canadian Geographer/Le Géographe canadien*, **48** (2), 168–190.
- LUCEY, B. M. and ZHANG, Q. (2010). Does cultural distance matter in international stock market comovement? evidence from emerging economies around the world. *Emerging Markets Review*, **11** (1), 62–78.
- MARTINE, G. (1994). Estado, economia e mobilidade geográfica: retrospectiva e perspectivas para o fim do século. *Revista Brasileira de Estudos de População*, **11** (1), 41–60.
- MCAULIFFE, M. B., MARIE; KHADRIA (2019). World migration report 2020. *International Organization for Migration*, p. 496.
- MOLLOY, R., SMITH, C. L. and WOZNIAK, A. (2011). Internal migration in the united states. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **25** (3), 173–96.

- NIFO, A. and VECCHIONE, G. (2014). Do institutions play a role in skilled migration? the case of italy. *Regional Studies*, **48** (10), 1628–1649.
- OTRACHSHENKO, V. and POPOVA, O. (2014). Life (dis) satisfaction and the intention to migrate: Evidence from central and eastern europe. *The Journal of Socio-Economics*, **48**, 40–49.
- RAPOPORT, H. and DOCQUIER, F. (2006). The economics of migrants’ remittances. *Handbook of the Economics of Giving, Altruism and Reciprocity*, **2**, 1135–1198.
- RAVENSTEIN, E. (1889). The laws of migration. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society*, **52** (2), 241–305.
- RIGOTTI, J. I. R. (2011). Vii. dados censitários e técnicas de análise das migrações no brasil: avanços e lacunas. *espacial da população*, p. 141.
- RITCHEY, P. N. (1976). Explanations of migration. *Annual Review of Sociology*, **2** (1), 363–404.
- SACHSIDA, A., DE CASTRO, P. F., DE MENDONÇA, M. J. C. and ALBUQUERQUE, P. H. (2009). *Perfil do migrante brasileiro*. Tech. rep., Texto para Discussão, Instituto de Pesquisa Econômica Aplicada (IPEA).
- SAUTER, N. (2012). Talking trade: language barriers in intra-canadian commerce. *Empirical Economics*, **42** (1), 301–323.
- SCHWARTZ, A. (1973). Interpreting the effect of distance on migration. *Journal of Political Economy*, **81** (5), 1153–1169.
- SILVA, J. S. and TENREYRO, S. (2006). The log of gravity. *The Review of Economics and Statistics*, **88** (4), 641–658.
- and — (2011). Further simulation evidence on the performance of the poisson pseudo-maximum likelihood estimator. *Economics Letters*, **112** (2), 220–222.
- SPAGNOLO, G. (1999). Social relations and cooperation in organizations. *Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization*, **38** (1), 1–25.
- SWITEK, M. (2016). Internal migration and life satisfaction: Well-being paths of young adult migrants. *Social Indicators Research*, **125** (1), 191–241.
- UNDP, H. (2013). Human development report 2013: the rise of the south: human progress in a diverse world. *New York: United Nations Development Programme*.
- WEBER, S. and PÉCLAT, M. (2017). A simple command to calculate travel distance and travel time. *The Stata Journal*, **17** (4), 962–971.

Table 4: List of the 50 municipalities included in this study

Aloandia	Goiania	Mogi das Cruzes	Sao Lourenco
Belem	Itagiba	Passos	Senador Guiomard
Belo Horizonte	Itaguaje	Possoes	Sao Jose dos Campos
Brasília	Itumbiara	Ponta Grossa	Sao Paulo
Blumenau	Itupeva	Porecatu	Timbauba
Branquinha	Jaboatao dos Guararapes	Porto Espiridiao	Uaua
Capela	Jaciara	Porto Velho	Vilhena
Coronel Ezequiel	Ji Parana	Pelotas	Vera Cruz
Cuiaba	Jijoca de Jericoacoara	Progresso	
Curitibanos	Juazeiro	Redencao	
Duque de Caxias	Jaragua do Sul	Rio Bonito	
Embu-Guacu	Minacu	Rio Branco	
Fortaleza	Mossoro	Rio de Janeiro	
Franca	Marilia	Sao Jose del Rei	

A Appendix – additional tables

Table A.1: Summary statistics

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.	N
migration flux	225.91	647.68	2.11	6589.73	450
destiny GDP	9.92	0.53	8.44	10.98	450
origin GDP	9.93	0.52	8.33	10.98	450
Google Maps distance in time	6.89	1.03	3.35	8.51	450
origin population	6.21	2.16	0.64	8.99	450
destiny population	5.84	2.1	1.89	8.96	450
origin unemployment	1.96	0.32	0.89	2.94	450
destiny unemployment	1.88	0.37	0.89	2.65	450
white migrants	131.71	405.26	0	4857.29	450
non-white migrants	94.2	282.04	0	4198.13	450
high school	80.3	222.01	0	2729.91	450
primary school	80.11	235.9	0	3363.66	450
16-40 years	159.05	444.05	0	4400.32	450
more than 40 years	59.91	192.67	0	2253.92	450
male migrant	149.45	436.73	0	4705.95	450
female migrant	76.46	215.38	0	2124.91	450
until 40 hrs	35.43	99	0	995.29	450
higher 40 hrs	190.47	552.69	0	5594.44	450
self-employed migrant	46.05	132.76	0	1530.47	450
employer migrant	170.41	490.97	0	5043.19	450
religious diversity distance	28.6	17.01	3.13	92.34	450
policy orientation distance	2.02	3.22	0	24.23	450
community trust distance	2.2	3.86	0	30.5	450
national pride distance	1.89	3.45	0	27.56	450
life satisfaction distance	1.77	2.52	0	14.19	450
authoritarianism distance	2.23	5.84	0	50.47	450
corruption distance	1.91	3.28	0	21.19	450
rule of law distance	2.19	3.06	0	20.82	450
disciplined person distance	2.14	5.28	0	58.12	450
critical person distance	1.76	2.68	0	20.93	450
trust in evangelical church distance	1.94	3.01	0	30.46	450
o.national pride	6.48	0.35	4.97	7	450
o.life satisfaction	1.54	0.18	1.17	2.05	450
o.community trust	2.2	0.26	1.53	2.88	450
o.authoritarianism	1.88	0.09	1.42	2	450
o.corruption	0.08	0.07	0	0.36	450
o.policy orientation	6.04	0.45	4.23	7	450
o.rule of law	4.19	0.6	2.59	5.79	450
o.disciplined person	5.60	0.48	2.57	6.60	450
o.critical person	3.46	0.41	2.04	4.45	450
o.trust in evangelical church	4.74	0.59	1.5	6.04	450
d.national pride	6.44	0.38	4.97	7	450
d.life satisfaction	1.56	0.19	1.17	2.05	450
d.community trust	2.18	0.24	1.4	2.88	450
d.authoritarianism	1.87	0.08	1.42	2	450
d.corruption	0.08	0.07	0	0.36	450
d.policy orientation	6.01	0.45	4.23	7	450
d.rule of law	4.23	0.58	2.59	5.79	450
d.disciplined person	5.58	0.46	2.57	6.60	450
d.critical person	3.92	0.46	1.8	4.45	450
d.trust in evangelical church	4.73	0.6	2.93	6.04	450

Table A.2: Data Description

Variable	Description	Source and Year
Migration flux	number of the flow of migrants	Brazilian Censo of 2010
destiny GDP	destiny current GDP per capita in logarithm	IBGE of 2010
origin GDP	origin's current GDP per capita in logarithm	IBGE of 2010
time to travel	bilateral Google Maps time in logarithm	Google Maps
origin population	density population of origin's municipality in logarithm	IBGE of 2010
destiny population	density population of destiny's municipality in logarithm	IBGE of 2010
origin unemployment	percentage of the population aged 16 and over, economically active, unemployed of origin's municipality	DATASUS of 2010
destiny unemployment	percentage of the population aged 16 and over, economically active, unemployed of destiny's municipality	DATASUS of 2010
community trust	Would you say that the people in your community are trustworthy ?	LAPOP of 2010
national pride	(1) Very trustworthy (2) More or less trustworthy (3) Little reliable (4) Unreliable	LAPOP of 2010
life satisfaction	How far have you been proud to be Brazilian? 1-7 higher, better. To what extent are you satisfied with your life?	LAPOP of 2010
authoritarianism	(1) Very satisfied (2) Unsatisfied (3) Little dissatisfied (4) Very dissatisfied Do you believe that when the country is facing difficulties is it justifiable that the president of the republic dissolves the Supreme Federal Court?	LAPOP of 2010
corruption	(1) Yes, justified. (2) No, not justified Do you think that, as things stand, sometimes it is justifiable to pay a bribe? (0) No; (1) Sim.	LAPOP of 2010 LAPOP of 2010
policy orientation	The Brazilian state must implement firm policies to reduce income inequality between rich and poor. How much do you agree or disagree with this sentence? 1-7 higher, better	LAPOP of 2010
rule of law	To what extent do you have confidence in the Federal Supreme Court? 1-7 higher, better	LAPOP of 2010
religious diversity	. What is your religion if you have? Catholic, Evangelical Protestant, Other non-Christian, None, Pentecostal Evangelical, Mormon or Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, Traditional or Native Religions,	LAPOP of 2010 LAPOP of 2010
disciplined person	Kardeist Spiritist, Jewish, Are you an atheist / Don't believe in God. Higher, better	LAPOP of 2010
critical person	Dependable and disciplined person. A critical and quarrelsome person. 1-7 higher, better	LAPOP of 2010
trust in evangelical church	To what extent do you have confidence in the Evangelical Church? 1-7 higher, better	LAPOP of 2010